FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT – TEACHER/SISP

1. EDUCATOR:	SUMMATIVE EVALUATION YEAR:
PRIMARY EVALUATOR:	
2.	
Feedback con Professional Practice Goal	
Feedback on Student Learning Goal	
Feedback on Standard 1	
Feedback on Standard 2	
Feedback on Standard 3	
Feedback on Standard 4	
3. Need for formative evaluation or change in educator plan	
4. Signature of Evaluator	Date Completed:

Signature of Educator* _____

Date Received: _____

FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT – ADMINISTRATOR

1. EDUCATOR:	SUMMATIVE EVALUATION YEAR:		
PRIMARY EVALUATOR:			
2.			
Feedback con Professional Practice Goal			
Feedback on Student: Learning Goal			
Feedback on Standard 1			
Feedback on Standard 2			
Feedback on Standard 3			
Feedback on Standard 4			
3. Need for formative evaluation or change in educator plan			
4. Signature of Evaluator	Date Completed:		

Signature of Educator* _____

Date Received: _____

COMPLETING FORMATIVE EVALUATION FORM/NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE

Evaluators are responsible for determining whether there are significant demonstrated changes in performance on the standards and to provide feedback on the educator's progress toward attaining the professional practice goal and the student learning goal by the end of the Self-Directed Plan.

If there have been no significant changes since the previous summative evaluation, there are four elements to the formative evaluation form. Each should be completed by the evaluator.

- **1. Key Information:** Educator's name; year of formative evaluation based on educator plan and contractual requirements, if applicable; name of primary evaluator; name of supervising evaluator, if there is one.
- 2. Progress and Feedback about Educator Plan Goals: The evaluator should indicate the level of progress made to date toward each of the goals and provide feedback to assist the educator in successfully attaining the goal by the end of the plan.
- **3.** Rating and Feedback on Stantlardis1144: The evaluator should indicate the rating on the previous summative evaluation for each of the four standards and overall, and the rating on each, for this formative evaluation. Because there should be no significant change if this form is being used, the expectation is that the ratings that resulted in an overall rating of proficient or exemplary will be the same or better. Based on the evidence collected by the evaluator or provided by the educator, feedback on one or more of the standards may be provided.
- **4.** Signatures: The evaluator and the educator should sign and date the completed form.

FORMATIVE EVALUATION – TEACHER /SISP NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE FROM PREVIOUS SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

1. EDUCATOR	·	FORMATIVE EVALUATION YEAR:	
PRIMARY E	VALUATOR:	SUPERVISING EVALUATOR (IF ONE):	
2.			
Professional Practice Goal:		Progress toward Goal Attainment to Pate	 Excellent Progress Good Progress Fair Progress Insufficient Progress No Progress
Feedback on PP Goal			
Student. Learning Goal Learning Goal		Progress toward Goal Attaiment to Date	 Excellent Progress Good Progress Fair Progress Insufficient Progress No Progress
Feedback on SL Goal Goal Goal			

3.

	Previous Summative Evaluation Rating	Current Formative Evaluation Rating
Overall Formative Evaluation Rating		DE OP ON OU
Standard 1 Evidence	DE OP ON OU	DE OP ON OU
Observations – see reports for details		
Standard 2 Evidence		DE OP ON OU
Observations – see reports for details		
Standard 3 Evidence		DE OP ON OU
Standard 4 Evidence	OE OP ON OU	DE DP DN DU
Feedback on Standards		

4. Signature of Evaluator _____

Date Completed: _____

Signature of Educator* _____

Date Received: _____

FORMATIVE EVALUATION – ADMINISTRATOR NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE FROM PREVIOUS SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

1. EDUCATOR		FORMATIVE EVALUATION YEAR:	
PRIMARY E	VALUATOR:	SUPERVISING EVALUATOR (IF ONE):	
2.			
Professional Practice Goal		Progress toward Goal <u>Attainment</u> to Pate	 Excellent Progress Good Progress Fair Progress Insufficient Progress No Progress
Feedback on PP Goal			
Student Learning Goal Learning Goal		Progress toward Goal Attainment to Date	 Excellent Progress Good Progress Fair Progress Insufficient Progress No Progress
Feedback on SL Feedback on SL Goal			

3.

	Previous Summative Evaluation Rating	Current Formative Evaluation Rating
Overall Formative Evaluation Rating		
Standard 1 Evidence	DE DP DN DU	
Observations – see reports for details		
Standard 2 Evidence	DE DP DN DU	
Observations – see reports for details		
Standard 3 Evidence		
Standard 4 Evidence		
Foodbook on		
Feedback on Standards		
Standards		

4. Signature of Evaluator _____

Date Completed: _____

Signature of Educator* _____

Date Received: _____

COMPLETING FORMATIVE EVALUATION FORM/WITH SIGNIFICANT CHANGE

Evaluators are responsible for determining whether there are significant demonstrated changes in performance on the standards and to provide feedback on the educator's progress toward attaining the professional practice goal and the student learning goal by the end of the Self-Directed Plan.

There are five elements of the formative evaluation form if there are significant changes since the previous summative evaluation. Each should be completed by the evaluator.

- **1.** Key Information: Educator's name; year of formative evaluation based on educator plan and contractual requirements, if applicable; name of primary evaluator; name of supervising evaluator if there is one.
- 2. Progress and Feedback about Educator Plan Goals: The evaluator should indicate the level of progress made to date toward each of the goals and provide feedback to assist the educator in successfully attaining the goal by the end of the plan. If there has been insufficient or no progress toward the goal, feedback should articulate what needs to be done and what assistance the evaluator recommends.
- **3.** Rating and Feedback on Standards 1-4: The evaluator should indicate the rating on the previous summative evaluation for each of the four standards and overall, and the rating on each, for this formative evaluation. The presumption is that the significant change demonstrated by the evidence will impact the overall performance rating; the evaluator should be specific about the evidence resulting in this conclusion and his/her rationale for making this decision. Based on the evidence the evaluator has collected or been provided by the educator, feedback should focus on those areas of performance that need to be improved in order to return to at least an overall rating of proficient.
- **4. Resulting Educator Plan:** The evaluator should indicate whether the educator will be on a revised Self-Directed Plan. This would apply if there are significant changes in the educator's performance on Standards 3 and 4 or if performance on either Standard 1 or 2 is below proficient.

If the educator's overall rating moves to needs improvement, then a Directed Growth Plan would result. In either case, the evaluator must work with the educator to develop improvement goals that – if achieved – will return the educator to a performance rating of proficient.

5. Signatures: The evaluator and educator should sign and date the completed form.

FORMATIVE EVALUATION MEETING

- Evaluators <u>must</u> conduct a face-to-face formative evaluation conference with all educators whose overall performance rating is lowered as a result of the formative evaluation.
 - At this meeting, the evaluator must present evidence from observations, artifacts of educator practice and other relevant evidence to the educator and explain how this led to a determination of practice that is below proficient.
 - At this meeting, the evaluator should either develop with the educator the appropriate educator plan for the next evaluation cycle or make arrangements for a second meeting at which the appropriate educator plan will be developed.
- Evaluators are not required to conduct face-to-face formative evaluation conferences with educators whose overall performance rating is proficient or exemplary. However, if an educator requests such a meeting, the evaluator must honor that request.

FORMATIVE EVALUATION – TEACHER/SISP IF EVIDENCE SUGGESTS SIGNIFICANT CHANGE FROM PREVIOUS SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

1.	EDUCATOR	•
----	----------	---

FORMATIVE EVALUATION YEAR:

PRIMARY EVALUATOR: ______ SUPERVISING EVALUATOR (IF ONE): _____

2	
_	
-	1

۷.			
Professional Practice Goal	towe	rees and Goal inment atte	Excellent Progress Good Progress Fair Progress Insufficient Progress No Progress
Feedback on Fracting Con PP Goal PP Goal			
Student Scutient Learning Goal Learning Goal	I Attal	ress ress ard Goal inment ate ate	Excellent Progress Good Progress Fair Progress Insufficient Progress No Progress
Feedback on SL Goat back on S. Goal			

3.

	Previous Summative Evaluation Rating	Current Formative Evaluation Rating	Rationale for Change
Overall Formative Evaluation Rating			
Standard 1 Evidence	DE DP DN DU	OE OP ON OU	
Observations – see reports for details			
Standard 2 Evidence	E P N U	E P N U	
Standard 2 Evidence	OE OP ON OU	DE DP DN DU	
Observations – see reports for details			
Standard 3 Evidence	E P N U	E P N U	
Standard 3 Evidence	🗆 E 🔲 P 🗖 N 🗖 U	🗆 E 🗆 P 🗖 N 🗖 U	
Standard 4 Evicience	E P N U	E P N U	
Standard 4 Evidence			
Feedback on			
Feedback on			
Specifical(s)			
Stàindaigel(S)can:			
With Significan't			
Demonstrated			
Performance			

4. Resulting Educator Plan

Re	vised Self-Directed Growth Plan:	Formative Evaluation Date:	Summative Evaluation Date:	
Directed Growth Plan:		Formative Assessment Date:	Summative Evaluation Date:	
5.	Signature of Evaluator		Date Completed:	
	Signature of Educator*		Date Received:	

FORMATIVE EVALUATION – ADMINISTRATOR IF EVIDENCE SUGGESTS SIGNIFICANT CHANGE FROM PREVIOUS SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

	YALUATOR:	Formative Evaluation Wear: Supervising Evaluator (if one):	
2. Professional Practice Goal-		Progress toward Gos Attainment to Date	Fair Progress
Feedback on PP Goal PP Coal			
Student Student Learning Goal Learning Goal		Progress Progress toward Goo Attainment Attainment to Date	U
Feedback on SL Geadback on S'. Goal			

3.

	Previous Summative Evaluation Rating	Current Formative Evaluation Rating	Rationale for Change
Overall Formative Evaluation Rating			
Standard 1 Evidence	DE DP DN DU	DE DP DN DU	
Observations – see reports for details			
Standard 2 Evidence	E P N U	E P N U	
Standard 2 Evidence	DE DP DN DU	🗆 E 🗆 P 🗖 N 🗖 U	
Observations – see reports for details			
Standard 3 Evidence	E P N U	E P N U	
Standard 3 Evidence	🗆 E 🗇 P 🗇 N 🗇 U	OE OP ON OU	
Standard 4 Evidence	E P N U	E P N U	
Standard 4 Evidence		DE DP DN DU	
		•	

Feedback on Beedback on Specifical(s) Standagd(s)cara with Significant Demonstrated Performance

4. Resulting Educator Plan

□Re	vised Self-Directed Growth Plan:	Formative Evaluation Date:	Summative Evaluation Date:	
Directed Growth Plan:		Formative Assessment Date:	Summative Evaluation Date:	
5.	Signature of Evaluator		Date Completed:	
	Signature of Educator*		Date Received:	